\mathcal{L}

Notice of Allowability	Application No.	o. Applicant(s)	
	09/920,498 Examiner		
	D. Lawrence Tarazano	1773	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearance All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIPORT of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apport or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not included will be mailed in due cou	rse. THIS
1. ☑ This communication is responsive to <u>5/24/2004</u> .			
2. ⊠ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-20</u> .			
3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examine	г.		
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unall All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the deposit of the proper No. In the deposit of the proper No. In the deposit of the priority of the priority of the deposit of the priority of the priority of the deposit of the priority of the p	e been received. The been received in Application No cuments have been received in this of this communication to file a reply IENT of this application. Itted. Note the attached EXAMINER as reason(s) why the oath or declarate the submitted. Son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- Son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- Son's Amendment / Comment or in the Comment or in the Comment of the drawing he header according to 37 CFR 1.121(consist of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL notes)	national stage application complying with the require S AMENDMENT or NOTItion is deficient. 948) attached office action of the backly. nust be submitted. Note	ements CE OF
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	5. ☐ Notice of Informal P 6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat 7. ☐ Examiner's Amendn 8. ☑ Examiner's Stateme 9. ☐ Other	(PTO-413), e nent/Comment	•

Application/Control Number: 09/920,498

Art Unit: 1773

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/24/2004 has been entered.

- 2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: There has been considerable disagreement between the examiner and the applicants regarding the term "substantially no stabilizing surfactants". It has been the examiner's position that small amounts of surfactants are used in emulsion polymerization processes. The examiner has conceded to the applicants' arguments (page 2 paragraph 1 of the response dated 5/24/2004). The examiner interprets "substantially free" in this instance to mean virtually no stabilizing surfactants are present and this amount must be lower than the amounts of surfactants used in the prior art (e.g. Diehl et al 6,337,359).
- 3. In claim 5, the applicants claim that surfactants may be present, but according to claim 1 none of these surfactants can contribute to the stability of the emulsion (i.e. the emulsion must be substantially free of any stabilizing surfactants). It is the examiner's position that any surfactants added must not only be added for a different reason, but the surfactant can not have stabilizing effects as an additional property.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 1773

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Lawrence Tarazano whose telephone number is (571)-272-1515. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 6:00 (off every other Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul J Thibodeau can be reached on (571)-272-1516. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Lawrence Tarazano Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773

dlt